

TEST REPORT –GLUTEN ELISA TESTING

Client details: Wrap Maestro
79 Eastlake Drive
Marina da Gama Muizenberg
Cape Town

Date of Report: 28 Jan 2020
Date of Sample Receipt: 27 Jan 2020
Date of Analysis: 28 Jan 2020
Customer Order No: PO - 0002

Analysis ID.: 23135
Invoice No: 2020/0106
No. of pages 1
Enquiries: Jana Coetzee

For Attention: Brendan McClean

Sample details

Client sample identification: Spinach Wraps
Sample conditions: Green Wraps, Cold Storage
No. of samples submitted: 2x Packs
Sample drawn by: Client

Sample ID No.: 18560
Issue: 1
Known sample deviations: None

Analysis details

Test description: Quantitative Gluten Testing (ELISA)
Additional method information: R5 Mendez, AOAC-OMA (2012.01), certified at AOAC-RI (12061), Codex Method (Type I)
Method cross-reactivity: The ELISA specifically detects gluten prolamins in wheat, barley and rye. No cross reaction with soy, oats, maize, rice, millet, teff, buckwheat, quinoa and amaranth.

Method identification: P5.4_1 (reference available on request)
Deviations from standard method: None
Known factors influencing test results: None

Regulatory Aspects

According to the South African Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act, 1972 (Act 54 of 1972) - Regulations Relating to the Labelling and Advertising of Foodstuffs, No. R. 146 (1 March 2010):

- Gluten must be declared on food product labels when present in the product, its ingredients or in its packaging material.
- The claim 'gluten-free' is not permitted for a food that: contains an ingredients that is or has been derived from any species of the significant cereals; and/or contains equal or more than 20 mg/kg gluten in the end product, when the level is determined by the R5 Mendez Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for gluten, or other Codex-recommended methods.

TEST RESULTS

Method:	Method ID:	Method range of quantification:	MoU:	Your sample results (tested in duplicate)				Regulatory limits:
				Lab ref.	Gluten [^] :	Unit	Interpretation:	
ELISA	P5.4_1	5.0 – 80.0 mg/kg (ppm) gluten	2.40 ppm	18560	<5.0	mg/kg (ppm)	<LLOQ	^^
				18560	<5.0	mg/kg (ppm)	<LLOQ	

Comments: Results are below the lower limit of quantification of the ELISA. Such results indicate that there may be zero or a very low level of gluten in the sample, too low to be accurately quantified with the ELISA.

<LLOQ = Below lower level of quantification of the method
WROQ = Within range of reliable quantification of the method
>ULOQ = Above the upper limit of quantification of the method

MoU = Measurement of Uncertainty
Method is SANAS accredited

[^] There are currently no specified allowable levels set for gluten in South Africa. Stipulations for 'gluten-free' claims are indicated in R.146/2010 and summarised above.

Approved by:

Report Approved By:
Hestie Tredoux
(MSc Biochemistry, US)

Analysis Completed By:
Marlou Engelbrecht
(MSc Medical Physiology, US)

This report was electronically generated and signed. Reports generated for accredited methods are approved by a technical signatory. If printed, refer to the original electronic document to verify the authenticity of this report.

Please note that:

1. This report refers to results obtained from the representative sample tested. FACTS in no way warrants, represents or implies that the rest of the same batch will achieve a similar test result. For more detail, please see Section (f) 'General Consulting' in the FACTS Terms and Conditions (<https://www.factssa.com/Docs/TsCs.pdf>).
2. The integrity of the reported result is valid only from the time of sample receipt by FACTS.
3. This report is issued by FACTS under its Terms and Conditions, available online at <https://www.Factssa.com/Docs/TsCs.pdf>, and the Acceptance Note as completed and confirmed by the parties thereof.
4. Due to the deterioration of the samples, perishable samples are not stored for future enquiries, whereas non-perishables will be stored for a period of 10 days after testing has been conducted.
5. This report may not be reproduced, except in full. When only certain pages or sections of the full report are reproduced, written permission must be obtained from FACTS.
6. All opinions and interpretations expressed herein are based on test method literature, relevant legislation, sound guidance documents and/or technical knowledge and experience.

- End of Report -